

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Jun-07-04 02:03pm From-WOODCOCK WASHBURN 33

+2155683439

T-378 P-07/04 F-758
(17) 2004



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OFFICIAL

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

RECEIVED

MAR 23 2004

COPY MAILED

MAR 18 2004

Woodcock Washburn

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Brian Froehler et al
Application No. 10/024,818
Filed: December 18, 2001
Attorney Docket No. GLIS-0143

RECEIVED

MAR 23 2004

: DECISION ON PETITION
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

DOCKET DEPT

WWKMN

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed September 19, 2003, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §120 for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional applications set forth in the amendment filed concurrently with the instant petition.

The petition is DISMISSED.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant application was filed on December 18, 2001 and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition.

¹ Any nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain a reference (amendment to the first line of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet (ADS)) to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) or international application number and international filing date filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. Cross references to other related applications may be made when appropriate (see § 1.14).

Application No. 10/024,818

-2-

The reference to the prior-filed applications was not included in the manner specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) (i.e., in an ADS or in an amendment to the first sentence following the title of the specification) or filed within the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii).

The instant petition does not comply with item (1)

37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) requires that any nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of one or more prior-filed copending nonprovisional applications must contain or be amended to contain a reference to each such prior-filed application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) and indicating the relationship of the applications. The relationship between the applications is whether the subject application is a continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part of a prior-filed nonprovisional application. An example of a proper benefit claim is: "This application is a continuation of Application No. 10/____, filed____." A benefit claim that merely states: "This application claims the benefit of Application No. 10/____, filed____," does not comply with 37 CFR 1.72(a)(2)(i) since the proper relationship, which includes the type of continuing application, is not stated. Also, the status of each nonprovisional parent application (if it is patented or abandoned) should also be indicated, following the filing date of the parent nonprovisional application. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, 8th ed., August 2001, Section 201.11, Reference to First Application. The amendment filed September 19, 2003 fails to state the proper relationship of Application No. 08/338,352, filed November 14, 1994, to the instant application. Application No. 07/976,103 was filed on November 25, 1992, and Intermediate Application No. 07/976,103 was filed on November 14, 1994. Therefore, Application No. 08/338,352 was filed on November 14, 1994. Therefore, Application No. 07/976,103, cannot be a continuation of Application No. 08/338,352.

For the above-noted reason, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) cannot be granted.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Window located at:
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza Two Lobby
Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

By fax: (703) 872-9306
ATTN: Office of Petitions

Application No. 10/024,818

-3-

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-8859.

Karen Creasy

Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy